

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Initially, Applicant wishes to thank Examiners Stronczer and Pendelton for conducting an interview with Applicant's attorney, Benjamin A. Keim, on January 13, 2009. Applicant's attorney wishes to thank the Examiners for their time and consideration.

During the interview, Applicant's attorney and the Examiners discussed the outstanding rejection under §112. Applicant's attorney understood the Examiners to agree that the proposed amendment overcomes the §112 rejection.

Applicant's attorney and the Examiners also discussed the claimed subject matter of the application and the Knudson and Logan references. In particular, Applicant's attorney presented arguments along the lines of those set forth below in the section entitled "Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. §103 A., B., C., D., E., F., and G.". Specifically, Applicant's attorney explained that Knudson and Logan do not disclose, teach, or suggest features, such as metadata comprising information generated after a broadcast of available content is completed.

Applicant's attorney understands the Examiners to tentatively agree that the proposed amendments overcome the outstanding rejections based on Knudson and Logan. Applicant's attorney submits the amendments in writing in this Response to the Office Action.

All of the pending claims are believed to be in condition for allowance.